UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,660	01/23/2006	Benno Tieke	NL 030890	2500
	7590 03/20/200 LLECTUAL PROPER		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 3001			PENDLETON, DIONNE	
BRIARCLIFF I	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/565,660	TIEKE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	DIONNE H. PENDLETON	2627
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 € This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 1/23/2006 is/are: a)⊠ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1, 9 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobs (US 5,802,032) in view of Furumiya (US 7,248,552).

Regarding claim 1,

JACOBS teaches a method of transforming a first set of write parameters of a write strategy, for recording marks in an information layer ("5" in figure 5) of a record carrier (6) by irradiating the information layer (5) with a pulsed radiation beam (3), at a first recording speed into a second recording speed (column 7:35-36 teaches that write speed is optimized, thereby implying a first and second recording speed) wherein the duration of the write pulses is kept substantially constant in time (column 1:40-50), and the duration of a complete sequence of write pulses for recording a mark is kept substantially constant as a fraction of a reference clock (column 6:5-11).

JACOBS does not clearly teach that the first set of write parameters are transformed into a second set of write parameters prior to recording.

FURUMIYA teaches detecting a first set of write parameters ("9" in figure 1) and transforming them into a second set of write parameters (see output of "24" in figure 1) of said write strategy for recording marks (column 2:63 through column 3:13).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to alter the invention of JACOBS per the teachings of FURUMIYA, also transforming the detected write parameters detected in the JACOBS invention, for the purpose of compensating for variations in characteristics of optical discs and devices therewith, thereby achieving full operational performance from the optical medium and associated devices.

Regarding claim 9,

JACOBS teaches a device for transforming a first set of write parameters of a write strategy for recording marks in an information layer (5) of a record carrier (6) by irradiating the information layer (5) with a pulsed radiation beam (3) at a first recording speed (R) into a second recording speed (column 7:35-36 teaches that write speed is optimized, thereby implying a first and second recording speed), said device comprising:

first transforming means ("10" in figure 5; also see column 8:51-54) for keeping the duration of the write pulses constant in time and second transforming means ("1" in figure 5; also see column 8:57-67) for keeping the duration of a complete sequence of write pulses for recording a mark constant as a fraction of a reference clock.

JACOBS does not clearly teach that a second set of write parameters are defined from the first set of write parameters via input means for receiving said first set of write parameters and an output means for outputting said second set of write parameters.

FURUMIYA teaches defining a second set of write parameters from the first set of write parameters via input means ("9" in figure 1) for receiving said first set of write parameters and an output means ("24" in figure 1) for outputting said second set of write parameters.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to alter the invention of JACOBS per the teachings of FURUMIYA, also transforming the detected write parameters detected in the JACOBS invention, for the purpose of compensating for variations in characteristics of optical discs and devices therewith, thereby achieving full operational performance from the optical medium and associated devices.

Regarding claim 10,

JACOBS teaches a recording device for recording marks in an information layer ("5" in figure 1) of a record carrier (6) using a write strategy by irradiating the information layer (5) by means of a pulsed radiation beam (3), each mark being written by a sequence of one or more write pulses, said recording device comprising: a radiation source (2) for providing the radiation beam (3), a control unit (1) operative in controlling the power of the radiation beam (3) and in providing the sequences of pulses for

recording the marks and a selection unit operative in selecting and/or controlling the recording speed (column 7:35-36 implies a "selection unit" by teaching that the write speed is optimized).

JACOBS does not clearly teach a transformation device for transforming a first set of write parameters of a write strategy into a second set of write parameters of said write strategy for recording marks.

FURUMIYA teaches a transformation device ("24" in figure 1) for transforming a first set of write parameters (10) of a write strategy into a second set of write parameters (18) of said write strategy for recording marks.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to alter the invention of JACOBS per the teachings of FURUMIYA, also transforming the detected write parameters detected in the JACOBS invention, for the purpose of compensating for variations in characteristics of optical discs and devices therewith, thereby achieving full operational performance from the optical medium and associated devices.

Regarding claim 11,

Jacobs teaches a selection unit adapted for controlling the recording speed in accordance with a constant angular velocity operation, a partial constant angular velocity operation, or a **zoned constant linear velocity operation** (column 6:5-30).

Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claim 12,

FURUMIYA teaches a recording device as claimed in claim 10, further comprising a storage means for storing at least two sets of write parameter settings for recording marks at different recording speeds, said transformation device being further operative in selecting the corresponding set of write parameter settings from said storage means in accordance with to the selected recording speed (Column 3:13-25).

2. Claims 2 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobs (US 5,802,032) in view of Furumiya (US 7,248,552), as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Tabata (US 2006/0140094).

Regarding claims 2 and 6,

The combined teachings of JACOBS and FURUMIYA, teach the method according to claim 1.

JACOBS and FURUMIYA do not clearly teach an even mark having a time length of nT, where n represents an integer value equal to 4, 6, 8 or 10, and T represents the length of one period of the reference clock, is written by a sequence of n/2 write pulses, an odd mark having a time length of nT, where n represents an integer value equal to 5, 7, 9 or 11, is written by a sequence of (n-1)/2 write pulses, and a mark having a time length of 3T is written by a single write pulse.

Art Unit: 2627

TABATA teaches an even mark having a time length of nT, where n represents an integer value equal to 4, 6, 8 or 10, and T represents the length of one period of the reference clock, is written by a sequence of n/2 write pulses, an odd mark having a time length of nT, where n represents an integer value equal to 5, 7, 9 or 11, is written by a sequence of (n-1)/2 write pulses, and a mark having a time length of 3T is written by a single write pulse (see paragraphs [0017-0019] see, **ODS '00**).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Jacobs, Furumiya and Tabata, recording in the Jacobs invention such that odd and even marks are written by a sequence so defined, thereby providing a consistency in the shape of marks when recording at increased speeds.

Regarding claim 7,

The combined teachings of JACOBS, FURUMIYA and TABATA, specifically JACOBS, teaches the duration of a complete sequence of write pulses for writing a mark having a time length of nT, where n represents an integer value equal to 4, 6, 8 or 10, and T represents the length of one period of the reference clock, is equal to (n-Oeven)T (JACOBS teaches using (N-2) write pulses for recording an NT mark in column 3:32-34, wherein 2 is constant), and the duration of a complete sequence of write pulses for recording a mark having a time length of nT, where n represents an integer value equal to 5, 7, 9 or 11, is equal to (n-Oodd)T (column 3:32-34).

JACOBS does not clearly teach that the subsequent cooling gap for recording a mark having a time length of 3T is equal to (3- Θ 3)T.

However, Tabata teaches that the duration of a mark having the length of 3T is a single write pulse, as recited, interpreted as teaching the expression (3-Θ3)T or an equivalent thereof.

Regarding claim 8,

Tabata teaches Godd is in a range from 6/8T to 10/8T, in particular substantially equal to 8/8T (see paragraphs [0017-0019] see, **ODS '00**).

The combined disclosures of Jacobs, Furumiya and Tabata, do not specifically teach that Θeven is in a range from 5/8 T to 9/8T, in particular substantially equal to 7/8T, or that Θ3 is in a range from 5/8T to 9/8T, in particular substantially equal to 7/8T.

However, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to assign any variety of reasonable values for Θ even or Θ 3, for the purpose of providing consistency in the shape of marks when recording at increased speeds.

3. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobs (US 5,802,032) in view of Furumiya (US 7,248,552) and Tabata (US 2006/0140094) and further in view of Ohno (US 2004/02488036).

Art Unit: 2627

Regarding claim 3,

The combined teachings of JACOBS, FURUMIYA and TABATA, teach the method according to claim 2. JACOBS, FURUMIYA and TABATA do not clearly teach a

relationship between the length of the last write pulse of the even and odd mark.

OHNO teaches that a last write pulse in the sequence of write pulses for writing

an odd mark is a defined period longer than a last write pulse in the sequence of write

pulses for writing an even mark, and a gap preceding the last write pulse in the

sequence of write pulses for writing an odd mark is a defined period longer than a gap

preceding the last write pulse in the sequence of write pulses for writing an even mark

(see [0228 and 0260]).

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to alter the combined teachings of Jacobs, Furumiya and Tabata, per that of

Ohno, wherein the last write pulse of an odd mark is a defined period longer than a last

write pulse in an even mark, for the purpose of better controlling rear end jitter.

Regarding claim 4,

OHNO teaches said period is kept constant in time and is within a range from 1 to 5 ns,

in particular within a range from 2 to 4 ns (see recitation of claim 48; also see

paragraphs [0023-0025]).

Regarding claim 5,

Art Unit: 2627

OHNO appears teaches the duration of the write pulses, except for the last pulse for writing an odd mark and except for the write pulse for writing a mark having a time length of 3T, is in a range from 5 to 10 ns, in particular substantially equal to 7.2 ns, the period has a duration in a range from 2 to 5 ns, in particular substantially equal to 3.6 ns, and the duration of the single write pulse for writing a mark having a time length of 3T is in a range from 8 to 15 ns, in particular substantially equal to 12.6 ns. (see paragraphs [0202-0260]).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DIONNE H. PENDLETON whose telephone number is (571)272-7497. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30-7:00 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571-272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2627

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/D. H. P./
Examiner, Art Unit 2627
Dionne Harvey Pendleton
/Wayne R. Young/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627